



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Fingerprints of changes in annual and seasonal precipitation from CMIP5 models over land and ocean

Citation for published version:

Balan Sarojini, B, Stott, P, Black, E & Polson, D 2012, 'Fingerprints of changes in annual and seasonal precipitation from CMIP5 models over land and ocean' *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 39, no. 21, L21706, pp. 1-5. DOI: 10.1029/2012GL053373

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1029/2012GL053373](https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053373)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Geophysical Research Letters

Publisher Rights Statement:

Published in *Geophysical Research Letters*. Copyright (2012) American Geophysical Union.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Fingerprints of changes in annual and seasonal precipitation from CMIP5 models over land and ocean

Beena Balan Sarojini,^{1,2} Peter A. Stott,³ Emily Black,^{1,2} and Debbie Polson⁴

Received 31 July 2012; revised 30 September 2012; accepted 3 October 2012; published 3 November 2012.

[1] By comparing annual and seasonal changes in precipitation over land and ocean since 1950 simulated by the CMIP5 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, phase 5) climate models in which natural and anthropogenic forcings have been included, we find that clear global-scale and regional-scale changes due to human influence are expected to have occurred over both land and ocean. These include moistening over northern high latitude land and ocean throughout all seasons and over the northern subtropical oceans during boreal winter. However we show that this signal of human influence is less distinct when considered over the relatively small area of land for which there are adequate observations to make assessments of multi-decadal scale trends. These results imply that extensive and significant changes in precipitation over the land and ocean may have already happened, even though, inadequacies in observations in some parts of the world make it difficult to identify conclusively such a human fingerprint on the global water cycle. In some regions and seasons, due to aliasing of different kinds of variability as a result of sub sampling by the sparse and changing observational coverage, observed trends appear to have been increased, underscoring the difficulties of interpreting the apparent magnitude of observed changes in precipitation. **Citation:** Balan Sarojini, B., P. A. Stott, E. Black, and D. Polson (2012), Fingerprints of changes in annual and seasonal precipitation from CMIP5 models over land and ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L21706, doi:10.1029/2012GL053373.

1. Introduction

[2] Over the last 50 years, increased surface temperatures have been observed over many parts of the globe [Sanchez-lugo *et al.*, 2012; Morice *et al.*, 2012], a trend that has been attributed to anthropogenic forcing [Hegerl *et al.*, 2007; Stott *et al.*, 2010]. From a theoretical standpoint, it is expected that higher temperatures will be accompanied by an amplification of the hydrological cycle [Boer, 1993; Allen and Ingram, 2002; Held and Soden, 2006; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007]. Expected changes are observed in many variables of the hydrological cycle [Huntington, 2006; Bates *et al.*, 2008; Allan and Liepert, 2010] such as an increase in surface specific humidity [Willett *et al.*, 2007] and

a decrease in ocean salinity [Durack and Wijffels, 2010]. Precipitation is the most easily observed component of the hydrological cycle and for this reason has been the focus of most analyses of hydrological change. However, precipitation is influenced by both local thermodynamic factors (for example a warmer ocean) [Hoerling *et al.*, 2012; Liu *et al.*, 2012] and remote circulation patterns (for example ENSO) [Santer *et al.*, 2009] – and is thus noisy and highly variable. Nevertheless, several studies have detected anthropogenic changes in the hydrological cycle. Using CMIP3 multi-model data, changes in zonal mean annual land precipitation for a single observational dataset have been attributed to anthropogenic forcing [Zhang *et al.*, 2007] and zonal mean seasonal land changes for three different observational datasets have been attributed to external forcing, for all seasons other than June, July and August (JJA) [Noake *et al.*, 2012]. Using the CMIP5 models and allowing for differences in observational data coverage, an anthropogenic signal has been detected for changes in zonal mean seasonal land precipitation for four different observational datasets for March, April and May (MAM); but detection was dataset dependent for other seasons (D. Polson *et al.*, Causes of robust seasonal land precipitation changes, submitted to *Journal of Climate*, 2012). On a regional scale, an anthropogenic signal has been identified for the northern high latitudes [Min *et al.*, 2008] and also within some latitudinal bands [Noake *et al.*, 2012; Polson *et al.*, submitted manuscript, 2012].

[3] It is clear from Polson *et al.* (submitted manuscript, 2012) as well as from other recently published work [e.g., Arkin *et al.*, 2010] that the biases in observational data that arise from incomplete spatial and temporal coverage may obscure the true trends. Comparing model data at locations where observations are available, with model data everywhere provides a means of exploring this limitation. This study, for the first time carries out such an analysis – utilizing the CMIP5 multi-model data in conjunction with the extended Zhang station-based gridded dataset [Zhang *et al.*, 2007].

[4] Previous studies of the cause of changes in the hydrological cycle have moreover considered only changes in precipitation on land where long time series of observed station data are available. Although changes in land precipitation have the clearer socio-economic impact, changes in oceanic precipitation affect the continental scale processes that drive the hydrological cycle [Trenberth, 2011]. The shortness of the period for which satellite data are available, however, precludes formal detection and attribution studies for oceanic regions. Nevertheless, analysis of model data can provide useful information about the origin of changes in the hydrological cycle, even in regions for which long time series of observations are currently unavailable. Understanding of the expected fingerprints of change can help guide where observational dataset developments, including use of satellite data

¹National Centre for Atmospheric Science - Climate Division, Reading, UK.

²Walker Institute, University of Reading, Reading, UK.

³Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK.

⁴School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

Corresponding author: B. Balan Sarojini, National Centre for Atmospheric Science - Climate Division, Earley Gate, PO Box 243, Reading RG6 6BB, UK. (b.balansarojini@reading.ac.uk)

©2012. American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.
0094-8276/12/2012GL053373




Figure 1. (top) Observed climatological precipitation (mm/day) for the baseline period of 1961–90. (bottom) Spatial map of observed trend (mm/day/yr), for 1951–2005.

[Huffman *et al.*, 2007], need to be focused. This study therefore builds on previous attribution studies by examining where human induced changes in both oceanic and land precipitation on annual and seasonal time scales are expected to have already appeared.

2. Models and Data

2.1. Coupled Model Simulations

[5] We use the climate model output of simulations of the historical period (1850–2005) available from the CMIP5 data archive (Table S1 in the auxiliary material).¹ The data were extracted from a suite of integrations designed for detecting and attributing anthropogenic climate change signals. The experiments we analysed were forced with i) both anthropogenic and natural forcings (ALL) and ii) only natural forcings (NAT). Specifically, the ALL experiments were forced with observed anthropogenic forcings of greenhouse gases, tropospheric aerosols, ozone and natural forcings of solar variations and volcanic aerosols, and the NAT experiments were forced only with natural solar variations and aerosols from major volcanic eruptions. Comparison between ALL and NAT runs can be used to distinguish anthropogenic and natural climate changes.

2.2. Observational Data

[6] The dataset chosen is an extended version of the monthly precipitation observations used in Zhang *et al.* [2007], which is based on station data extracted from the Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN) [Vose *et al.*, 1992]. The data

are from 1901–2009, gridded at $5 \times 5^\circ$, quality-controlled, and provided for all land grid squares on the globe for which station data are available.

3. Methodology

[7] In order to assess recent (1951–2005) changes in precipitation, both in the model world and the real world, we calculated annual and seasonal precipitation anomalies with respect to the baseline climatology of 1961–90 (Figure 1, top), where the observational data are available for greater than 90% of the total time period. This additional quality control criterion was applied to the Zhang data in order to avoid artefacts arising from significant changes in data coverage during the study. The effect of the exclusion of grid cells because of the quality control measures described above is evident in Figure 1 (bottom), which shows the observed spatial trends.

[8] Further details of the model simulations, observational data and methods by which the data were masked to the observational grid are given in the auxiliary material (Table S1 and Text S1).

4. Results

[9] We compare the global and zonal scale changes in annual and seasonal precipitation in observations and as simulated by the CMIP5 models using anthropogenic and natural forcings. In the observations, there is no clear trend in precipitation globally for either annual or seasonal time series (Figures S1–S5 d, first row). This is perhaps not surprising and is in agreement to earlier studies [Zhang *et al.*, 2007]. It is clear from Figure 1 (bottom) that the observed trend in northern hemisphere precipitation varies spatially – both in sign and magnitude. There is an upward trend in precipitation throughout the high latitudes [Min *et al.*, 2008] whereas in the tropics, there is considerable variation [Zhang *et al.*, 2007]. Much of Africa, for example has experienced a decrease in precipitation, while there has been an increase in Central and South America and relatively little change in India. This is consistent with time series of observed precipitation from 1951–2005, which show that during December, January and February (DJF) and JJA, in the northern high latitudes, observed precipitation has increased (Figures S6c and S6d) and that elsewhere trends are weak and inconsistent (Figures S2 and S4, last column).

[10] Within the climate models, when all points are considered, the data are less noisy than when the data are masked by the observational coverage. Comparison between the ALL and NAT simulations for annual global time series in the unmasked model data (Figures 2a–2c) shows that the difference between the ALL and NAT multi-model means increases towards the end of the time series. In other words, an anthropogenic influence on global-scale precipitation is statistically significant, in model data, by the 1990s, when all grid points are included in the analysis. When the model data is masked by the land observational coverage (hereafter masked land), although an upward trend is evident, there is significant difference between the ALL and NAT integrations only by yr 2000 (Figure 2d). The global response of volcanic aerosols from major eruptions [Gillett *et al.*, 2004] is evident in both the ALL and NAT runs – with decreased precipitation due to the

¹Auxiliary materials are available in the HTML. doi:10.1029/2012GL053373.




Figure 2. Global changes in annual precipitation (mm/day), relative to the baseline period of 1961–90, simulated by CMIP5 models forced with, both anthropogenic and natural forcings (ALL) and natural forcings only (NAT). (a) Land and Ocean, (b) Land, (c) Ocean, with all grid points, and (d) Land masked by observational coverage. ALL runs are in red, NAT runs are in blue, multi-model means are in thick solid lines and observations are in black solid line. A 5-yr running mean is applied to both simulations and observations. Green stars show statistically significant changes between ALL and NAT runs, at 5% level.

effect of Pinatubo (1992) and Agung (1964) more pronounced than that of El Chichon (1983).

[11] Looking at zonal scales, a significant anthropogenic signal of increase in annual precipitation appears in the models over the northern high latitudes of 60°N – 90°N both over land, ocean and masked land, by the 1990s, in the ALL runs and an increase is also seen in the observations (Figure S1, second row and Figure 1b). On seasonal scales, it is interesting to note that, during DJF, a significant anthropogenic signal is seen both over northern high latitude land and ocean (Figures 3a and 3b), and over the northern subtropical oceans (Figures 3c and 3d), by the 2000s. This significant northern high latitude moistening is evident over land, oceans, and masked land and observations during all the seasons (Figures S2–S5, second row) indicating the robustness of the signal. Our results are consistent with previous findings of an anthropogenic increase in precipitation over northern high latitude land areas detected using the CMIP3 models and observations [Min *et al.*, 2008].

[12] On masked land, both models and observations show greater interannual variations within the zonal seasonal time series than within the global seasonal time series. Figure S6 shows an example of this during DJF and JJA. Despite these short-term variations, the long-term Arctic moistening signal seen in the models is also consistent with observed changes in this region. Dai *et al.* [2009] reported an increase in freshwater discharge from the Arctic land regions in to the Arctic Ocean. They show a positive trend in discharge towards the end of the 20th century. Although surface warming plays a primary role in increased discharge from ice and frost covered higher latitude land areas, the observed increase in precipitation

(Figure 1b) may also have contributed to the increase in discharge.

[13] In the tropical (30°S – 30°N) and southern subtropical bands (60°S – 30°S), there is no clear signal of change due to anthropogenic forcing on either annual or seasonal timescales (Figures S1–S5, fourth and fifth rows) and also the models show less agreement with each other over the land areas in these two bands. The increase in annual precipitation in the tropics in both ALL and NAT runs, towards the end of the time series, seems to be related to changing observational coverage causing larger anomalies to be represented in the zonal means of model simulations in recent years. Seasonally, these increases are seen in JJA which persist through September, October and November (SON). In the southern higher latitudes (90°S – 60°S), modelled changes show significant increase in precipitation predominantly contributed by the ocean part, which is present throughout the seasons (Figures S1–S5, last row). The significant change occurs by the 1990s for annual, austral spring and summer, and by the 2000s for austral autumn. No significant differences between the ALL and NAT multi-model means are found over land and ocean in the 30°S – 30°N band, annually and seasonally, except for increased precipitation over ocean in the boreal winter, by the 2000s.

[14] Although it is more difficult to detect a separation between the masked NAT and ALL runs, in most seasons and zonal bands, the trends in the full model data are seen to some degree in the masked data. In some cases (global annual and in SON, 30°N – 60°N annual and in DJF and SON), moreover, the masked data apparently have a stronger trend than




Figure 3. Zonal changes in DJF precipitation (mm/day), relative to the baseline period of 1961–90, simulated by CMIP5 models forced with, both anthropogenic and natural forcings (ALL) and natural forcings only (NAT). (a) 60°N–90°N Land, (b) 60°N–90°N Ocean, (c) 30°N–60°N Land, and (d) 30°N–60°N Ocean, with all grid points. ALL runs are in red, NAT runs are in blue and multi-model means are in thick solid lines. A 5-yr running mean is applied to both simulations and observations. Green stars show statistically significant changes between ALL and NAT runs, at 5% level.

in the unmasked data – suggesting that the observed global or zonal mean trends may be aliased due to sub sampling by sparsity of data coverage.

[15] We confirm that the simulated precipitation changes between ALL and NAT runs are indeed due to the difference in anthropogenic and natural climate forcings, and not due to the difference in the unequal number of ALL and NAT simulations of different models. For instance, for annual time series, ALL and NAT multi-model means of identical GCMs (Figure S8) show similar differences as the same when all available ALL and NAT runs (Figure S1) are considered.

5. Summary and Discussion

[16] On global and annual scales, a significant, albeit small, signal of human influence in precipitation can be identified within climate models over both land and ocean. On zonal and seasonal scales, a robust signal of increase in precipitation due to anthropogenic forcings is found over the northern high latitudes within both land and ocean. The strength of the trend in anthropogenically forced precipitation in the northern high latitudes is consistent with the existing evidence of human influence on the Arctic [Min *et al.*, 2008].

[17] Within the oceans, we find that the northern high latitude moistening during boreal winter is accompanied by moistening over the northern subtropical oceans (no significant change is seen for northern subtropical land). We also find that the ocean in southern higher latitudes receives increased precipitation – consistent with an observed freshening of polar oceans [Durack and Wijffels, 2010]. This increase in precipitation is seen only when anthropogenic forcings are considered, suggesting that the precipitation and hence the salinity

trend has an anthropogenic origin. In relation to amplification of other variables of the hydrological cycle by humans, regionally, our results of increased precipitation over the Arctic are in agreement with previous findings of observed increase in continental discharge [Dai *et al.*, 2009] and ocean freshening [Durack and Wijffels, 2010]; and, globally, increased precipitation over land and ocean is consistent with observed increase in surface specific humidity over land and ocean [Willett *et al.*, 2007].

[18] When the model data are masked by land observational coverage, trends in precipitation are, in some cases obscured. Moreover, comparison of simulated changes over land (with all grid points) to that over land masked by observational coverage and to observed changes reveal large excursions in the time series, notably in the southern zonal bands where data is spatially limited. The sparse and infrequent observations are not showing the true magnitude and variability in global or zonal mean precipitation. Furthermore, although the increased noise makes it difficult to isolate an anthropogenic influence, trends in model data masked by observational coverage are, in some cases greater than trends in the unmasked data (i.e. at all points on the globe with no allowance made for observational coverage) globally and in certain zonal bands. It could be partly due to the fact that the observational coverage of the dataset used in this study is spatially limited compared to other gridded datasets with greater coverage owing to spatial interpolation of data to grid points where station data are not available. Care must therefore be taken when interpreting trends in global or regional precipitation taking into account data availability, as aliasing of one type of variability at a location on to another type of variability at a different location as a result of sub sampling of data [Von Storch and

Zwiers, 2004] due to sparse data coverage may result in an apparent increase (or decrease) of inferred trends in precipitation. Using multiple observational datasets may help to overcome some of these issues.

[19] In summary, we find that the latest generation of climate models in CMIP5 show that precipitation is expected to have increased globally and that there is a significant anthropogenic component to these changes in the model output. The strongest trends are seen in the northern high latitudes, during the boreal winter – with weaker trends evident in the zonal mean subtropics and tropics. We also find significant anthropogenic increases are expected to have occurred in ocean precipitation – suggesting that the observed changes in salinity reported in previous studies have an anthropogenic origin.

[20] **Acknowledgments.** This work is supported by PAGODA project of the Changing Water Cycle programme of UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) under grant NE/1006672/1 and by the Joint DECC/Defra Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme (GA01101). We acknowledge the CMIP5 climate modelling groups, for producing and making their model output available, the U.S. Department of Energy's Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCMDI) which provides co-ordinating support for this data and led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth System Science Portals for CMIP and the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling (WGCM), which is responsible for CMIP. We thank Xuebin Zhang for providing the observational data.

[21] The Editor thanks the two anonymous reviewers for their assistance in evaluating this paper.

References

- Allan, R. P., and B. G. Liepert (2010), Anticipated changes in the global atmospheric water cycle, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **5**, 025201, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/2/025201.
- Allen, M., and W. Ingram (2002), Constraints on future changes in climate and the hydrologic cycle, *Nature*, **419**, 224–232, doi:10.1038/nature01092.
- Arkin, P. A., T. M. Smith, M. R. P. Sapiano, and J. Janowiak (2010), The observed sensitivity of the global hydrological cycle to changes in surface temperature, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **5**, 035201, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/3/035201.
- Bates, B. C., Z. W. Kundzewicz, S. Wu, and J. P. Palutikof (Eds.) (2008), *Climate Change and Water. Technical Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 210 pp., IPCC Secr., Geneva, Switzerland.
- Boer, G. J. (1993), Climate change and the regulation of the surface moisture and energy budgets, *Clim. Dyn.*, **8**, 225–239, doi:10.1007/BF00198617.
- Dai, A., T. Qian, K. E. Trenberth, and J. D. Milliman (2009), Changes in continental freshwater discharge from 1948 to 2004, *J. Climate*, **22**, 2773–2792, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2592.1.
- Durack, P., and S. Wijffels (2010), Fifty-year trends in global ocean salinities and their relationship to broad-scale warming, *J. Clim.*, **23**, 4342–4362, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3377.1.
- Gillett, N. P., A. J. Weaver, F. W. Zwiers, and M. F. Wehner (2004), Detection of volcanic influence on global precipitation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L12217, doi:10.1029/2004GL020044.
- Hegerl, G., T. Crowley, W. Hyde, M. Allen, H. Pollack, J. Smerdon, and E. Zorita (2007), Detection of human influence on a new, validated 1500-year temperature reconstruction, *J. Clim.*, **20**, 650–666, doi:10.1175/JCLI4011.1.
- Held, I., and B. Soden (2006), Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming, *J. Clim.*, **19**(21), 5686–5699, doi:10.1175/JCLI3990.1.
- Hoerling, M., J. Eischeid, J. Perlwitz, X. Quan, T. Zhang, and P. Pegion (2012), On the increased frequency of Mediterranean drought, *J. Clim.*, **25**, 2146–2161, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00296.1.
- Huffman, G. J., R. F. Adler, D. T. Bolvin, G. Gu, E. J. Nelkin, K. P. Bowman, Y. Hong, E. F. Stocker, and D. B. Wolff (2007), The TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA): Quasi-global, multiyear, combined-sensor precipitation estimates at fine scales, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, **8**, 38–55, doi:10.1175/JHM560.1.
- Huntington, T. G. (2006), Evidence for intensification of the global water cycle: Review and synthesis, *J. Hydrol.*, **319**, 83–95, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.07.003.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2007), *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by S. Solomon et al., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U. K.
- Liu, C., R. P. Allan, and G. J. Huffman (2012), Co-variation of temperature and precipitation in CMIP5 models and satellite observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L13803, doi:10.1029/2012GL052093.
- Min, S.-K., X. Zhang, and F. W. Zwiers (2008), Human-induced Arctic moistening, *Science*, **320**, 518–520, doi:10.1126/science.1153468.
- Morice, C. P., J. J. Kennedy, N. A. Rayner, and P. D. Jones (2012), Quantifying uncertainties in global and regional temperature change using an ensemble of observational estimates: The HadCRUT4 data set, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D08101, doi:10.1029/2011JD017187.
- Noake, K., D. Polson, G. Hegerl, and X. Zhang (2012), Changes in seasonal land precipitation during the latter twentieth-century, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L03706, doi:10.1029/2011GL050405.
- Sánchez-lugo, A., J. J. Kennedy, and P. Berrisford (2012), Surface temperature, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **93**(7), S14–S15.
- Santer, B. D., et al. (2009), Incorporating model quality information in climate change detection and attribution studies, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **106**(35), 14,778–14,783, doi:10.1073/pnas.0901736106.
- Stott, P., N. Gillett, G. C. Hegerl, D. Karoly, D. Stone, X. Zhang, and F. Zwiers (2010), Detection and attribution of climate change: A regional perspective, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Change*, **1**, 192–211.
- Trenberth, K. (2011), Attribution of climate variations and trends to human influences and natural variability, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Change*, **2**, 925–930, doi:10.1002/wcc.142.
- Von Storch, H., and F. W. Zwiers (2004), *Statistical Analysis in Climate Research*, 513 pp., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Vose, R., R. Schmoyer, P. Steurer, T. Peterson, R. Heim, T. Karl, and J. Eischeid (1992), The Global Historical Climatology Network: Long-term monthly temperature, precipitation, sea level pressure, and station pressure data, *Rep. CDIAC-53, NDP-041*, Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., Oak Ridge, Tenn.
- Willett, K. M., P. D. Jones, N. P. Gillett, and P. W. Thorne (2007), Attribution of observed surface humidity changes to human influence, *Nature*, **449**, 710–712, doi:10.1038/nature06207.
- Zhang, X., F. W. Zwiers, G. C. Hegerl, F. H. Lambert, N. P. Gillett, P. A. Stott, and T. Nosawa (2007), Detection of human influence on twentieth-century precipitation trends, *Nature*, **448**, 461–465, doi:10.1038/nature06025.